m

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/777,917	02/11/2004	Robert William Dobbs	200209626-1	5500
²²⁸⁷⁹ HEWLETT PA	7590 09/10/200 CKARD COMPANY	7	EXAMINER	
P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION			BUTLER, DENNIS	
	NS, CO 80527-2400		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2115	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	1		09/10/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/777,917	DOBBS ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Dennis M. Butler	2115			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Ju 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 11 February 2004 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	e: a) \boxtimes accepted or b) \square objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/777,917 Page 2

Art Unit: 2115

1. This action is in response to the RCE filed on June 14, 2007 and the amendment filed on May 14, 2007. Claims 1-22 are pending.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/777,917 Page 3

Art Unit: 2115

5. Claims 1, 7 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Toy, U. S. Patent 6,191,500.

Per claims 1 and 22:

- A) Toy teaches the following claimed items:
- 1. a power distribution system with figures 2 and 3;
- a bank of loads with critical loads 230 of figures 2 and 3, at column 4, lines
 1-3 and at column 5, lines 36-51;
- 3. a bank of sources including a first group of sources (utility source #1, UPS #1 and UPS #2) and a second group of sources (utility source #2, UPS #3 and UPS #4) with figures 2 and 3, at column 2, lines 10-18, at column 4, lines 27-36 and 57-59 and at column 6, lines 14-23;
- 4. an interconnect arrangement including a plurality of interconnects that, in a first (normal) mode, are operable to connect each source of the first and second groups to at least one of the loads such that each of the sources in the first and second groups provides power to at least one of the loads, and that, in a second (failure) operating mode during which one or more of the sources is inoperable to provide power, are operable to cause each of the loads to remain fully powered by at least one source in one of the first and second groups with switch boards 340 a and B, UPS paralleling switchgear 370 and ring switchgear 380 of figure 3, at column 2, lines 10-18, at column 4, lines 27-59 and at column 19, lines 48-67.

Per claim 7:

Application/Control Number: 10/777,917

Art Unit: 2115

Toy discloses that the first group of sources are AC sources with utility source #1, UPS #1 and UPS #2 of figure 3, at column 6, lines 14-23 and at column 11, lines 34-37.

6. Claims 2-6 and 8-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Toy, U. S. Patent 6,191,500 in view of Slade et al., U. S. Patent 5,861,684.

Per claims 9 and 11:

- A) Toy teaches the following claimed items:
- 1. a power distribution system with figures 2 and 3;
- a bank of loads with critical loads 230 of figures 2 and 3, at column 4, lines
 1-3 and at column 5, lines 36-51;
- a bank of sources including a first group of AC sources (utility source #1, UPS #1 and UPS #2) and a second group of sources (utility source #2, UPS #3 and UPS #4) with figures 2 and 3, at column 2, lines 10-18, at column 4, lines 27-36 and 57-59 and at column 6, lines 14-23;
- 4. an interconnect arrangement including a plurality of interconnects that, in a first (normal) mode, are operable to connect each source of the first and second groups to at least one of the loads such that each of the sources in the first and second groups provides power to at least one of the loads, and that, in a second (failure) operating mode during which one or more of the sources is inoperable to provide power, are operable to cause each of the loads to remain fully powered by at least one source in one of the first and second groups with switch boards 340 a and B, UPS paralleling switchgear 370 and ring switchgear

Application/Control Number: 10/777,917

Art Unit: 2115

380 of figure 3, at column 2, lines 10-18, at column 4, lines 27-59 and at column 19, lines 48-67.

- B) The claims differ from Toy in that Toy fails to explicitly teach that the second group of sources are a group of DC sources as claimed.
- However, Toy describes providing a second utility source (utility source #2 C) 110B) and discloses that the utility source could be any source of electric power that is normally used to provide power to critical load 230 (computer systems) at column 4, lines 42-44. Slade teaches that it is known to provide power to large computer systems by using a utility source comprising a second group of power sources (battery A-D, elements 18-21 in figure 1) that are a group of DC sources with figure 1, at column 1, lines 12-20 and at column 1, line 44 - column 2, line 6 and at column 3, lines 43-51. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that the power distribution system of Toy could have included a second group of sources that were a group of DC sources, as taught by Slade, in order to provide an alternate type of power source capable of maintaining full uninterrupted power to critical loads when one or more of the AC power sources fail. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to combine Toy and Slade because they are both directed to the problem of providing uninterruptible power to critical loads (large computer systems) in a power distribution system.

Per claims 2-6, 8, 10 and 12-21:

Claims 2-6, 8, 10 and 12-21 recite various configurations of loads and corresponding power source configurations. Both Toy and Slade disclose that the system power requirements are determined by the power requirements and the amount of redundancy desired at the site see Toy at column 1, lines 26-50 and column 17, lines 5-20 and see Slade at column 2, lines 1-13. Therefore, the particular load and power source configurations are design choices and it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide various power source configurations that match the power requirements of the loads being powered and the desired redundancy of the site.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-22 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dennis M. Butler whose telephone number is 571-272-3663. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

Application/Control Number: 10/777,917

Art Unit: 2115

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dennis M. Butler
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2115

Page 7